

NOTES:

- I. Assessment processes can give misleading results. For example the frequently dry River Ivel is assessed as "supports good" for flow. The latest computer modelling can assess impacts on a reach scale.
- II. The CaBA chalk stream group is developing a chalk stream typology: some streams with a chalk bedrock flow through deep glacial drift, have low base-flows and are not really chalk streams. Other streams are so heavily modified (where chalk streams have been made into canals for example) that abstraction reduction may yield little, to no gain.
- III. Are there hidden, illogical blockers? For example do 1:500 targets for the River Test (which could be addressed in better ways than importing water from the water starved Thames) preclude 1:1 relief for the River Ems?
- IV. Are the other stresses really significant enough to delay abstraction reduction. Streams screened here must go back into the next round.
- V. As part of the next screening process